

Magnetometry and magnetic-field decomposition for the TUCAN **nEDM** experiment

Canadian Institute of

Nuclear Physics Institut canadien de

physique nucléaire

1

CINP

ICPN

Wolfgang Klassen¹, J.W.Martin^{1,2}, R.Mammei^{1,2}

February 15, 2019

Neutron EDM

- EDM describes the torque a particle experiences due to an external electric field
- In an external E and B field, the Hamiltonian is: $\vec{r} = \vec{r}$

$$H = -\vec{\mu_n} \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{d_n} \cdot \vec{E}$$

• The vector dipole moment and the axial vector magnetic moment transform differently under various symmetries

2

Measuring the nEDM

- Rather than reverse time in the lab, we can have the electric and magnetic fields be either parallel or anti-parallel
- A non-zero EDM results in a change in precession frequency



B_o homogeneity

- For a measurable $\Delta \omega$, neutrons must precess for a long time
 - B₀ field can drift
- Another atomic species in the same volume can measure the magnetic field the entire time, correcting for drift

 Co-magnetometer species, thermal

UCNs, very slow



False EDM signal¹

$$d_{f,\mathrm{Hg}} = -\frac{\hbar\gamma^2}{2c^2} \langle xB_x + yB_y \rangle$$

In terms of the scalar potential:

$$d_{n\leftarrow\mathrm{Hg}}^{\mathrm{false}} = -\frac{\hbar\gamma_n\gamma_{\mathrm{Hg}}}{2c^2} \langle \rho \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\rho} \rangle$$

B

4

Correcting dFalse

• My goal: accurately characterize dFalse, a large correction to our measured nEDM



 Place magnetometers inside the volume

• Infer field inside cell by measuring field outside

Passive magnetic shielding

Field decomposition

• In free space, magnetic scalar potential obeys Laplace's equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 0$$

• General solution:

 $\Phi = \sum_{l,m} [A_{l,m}r^l + B_{l,m}r^{-(l+1)}] [C\cos m\phi + D\sin m\phi] [EP_l^m(\cos\theta) + FQ_l^m(\cos\theta)]$

• A convenient choice that satisfies this solution is a renormalization of the real spherical harmonics²:

$$\Phi_{l,m} = C_{l,m}(\phi) r^l P_l^{|m|}(\cos\theta)$$

• with

$$C_{l,m}(\phi) = \frac{(l-1)!(-2)^{|m|}}{(l+|m|)!} \cos(m\phi) \qquad \text{for } m \ge 0$$

$$= \frac{(l-1)!(-2)^{|m|}}{(l+|m|)!}\sin(|m|\phi) \qquad \text{for } m < 0$$

Field decomposition



Field decomposition

• Our B_o field oriented along the z axis

 $\begin{bmatrix} B_{z}(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ B_{z}(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b & 1 & b & b & y_{1} & z_{1} & x_{1} & b & b & 2x_{1}y_{1} \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & y_{n} & z_{n} & x_{n} & 0 & 0 & 2x_{n}y_{n} \cdots \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} G_{1-1} \\ G_{10} \\ G_{11} \\ G_{12} \\ G_{2-1} \\ \vdots \\ G_{2-1} \end{bmatrix}$ • B field independent of some G terms

- Order of expansion = order of polynomial terms
- False EDM is written in terms of the gradients, so we need to extract g:

$$\vec{g} = pinv(X_z) * \vec{B_z}$$
 Working equation

False EDM

• False EDM calculated from the gradient terms³:

$$d_{f,\mathrm{Hg}} = -\frac{\hbar\gamma^2}{2c^2} \langle xB_x + yB_y \rangle = \frac{\hbar\gamma^2 R^2}{8c^2} \left[g_{10} + g_{30} \left(\frac{H^2}{4} - \frac{R^2}{2} \right) + g_{50} \left(\frac{H^4}{16} - \frac{5R^2 H^2}{12} + \frac{5R^4}{16} \right) \right]$$

• This is the quantity I want to accurately extract for any given magnetic field

Positions

- Working equation must be adequately constrained – e.g. can't have all sensors on equi-magnetic surface
- There must be some set of positions that performs the best, but a 3^{rd} order fit requires 16*3 = 48 position parameters to optimize
- Solution → have a computer decide for me
- A genetic algorithm can do this



Using a GA



Optimization results



• Testing 10,000 gradients:

Field sensing error: 10 fT Position error: 0.1 cm # sensors: 17(+1)

Below you can see the error is always smaller than 3*e-28!





Atomic magnetometry

- Certain polarization states of alkali atoms behave very predictably in magnetic fields, atomic (or optical) magnetometry exploits this
- Measures laser polarization rotation in response to B fields
- Moushumi Das demonstrated a working Rb based magnetometer with a statistical sensitivity of 0.1 pT over 10 seconds, setup diagram to the right



Conclusion

- **dFalse** is the dominant source of systematic uncertainty in the experiment. By placing Cs magnetometers in the experimental volume we can theoretically characterize this false signal to a precision of order 1*e-28 e⋅cm
- Future work: in order to operate these magnetometers in the experimental volume, the laser light will be provided via fibre optic cables, prelim. design below



A preliminary design for the magheads. They need to be made with zero metal, to avoid contaminating the magnetic field in the volume. This makes precision alignment difficult.

