# Antihydrogen 1S-2P Spectroscopy and Lamb Shift Measurement

**WNPPC 2019** 

Andrew Evans, for the ALPHA collaboration PHD Student, University of Calgary Faculty of Science, Department of Physics

Thursday, February 14, 2019



ALPĦA

- Antihydrogen Laser Physics Apparatus
- Experiment at CERN
- 7 countries, 13 universities, about 50 people
- Produce, trap, and study antihydrogen
- Searching for CPT violations



http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/en/7/72/World\_Map\_WSF.svg.png

# Why Antimatter?



- Mirror to matter; same mass but opposite charge
  - Other basic properties of matter and antimatter (mass, lifetime) seem identical
  - So far...
- Observable universe is dominated by matter
- Antiproton decelerator (AD) at CERN produces low energy antiprotons
  - Started operation in 2000
- Cold antiprotons and positrons are key to producing *trappable antihydrogen*
- One of many measurements ALPHA has been working on



# Lamb Shift

- Willis Lamb
- Reported in 1947
- Energy level difference between energy levels of the same J state (spin ½)
  - 2S<sub>1/2</sub> and 2P<sub>1/2</sub> energy levels
- This difference showed that the Dirac equation was insufficient
  - Explained by quantum electrodynamic interactions between the proton and electron
- First measurement of this in antihydrogen



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Willis\_Lamb



# This is ALPHA-2



### Here is a better picture (as of 2019!)



#### **Previous ALPHA-2 work**

- Observation Of The 1S–2P Lyman-A Transition In Antihydrogen (2018)
- Characterization Of The 1S–2S Transition In Antihydrogen (2018)
- Enhanced Control And Reproducibility Of Non-Neutral Plasmas (2018)
- Observation Of The Hyperfine Spectrum Of Antihydrogen (2017)
- Observation Of The 1S-2S Transition In Trapped Antihydrogen (2016)





# Making Antihydrogen

- Penning trap
- Evaporatively cooling positron into antiprotons
- Minimum B trap for antihydrogen
- Optical path is near parallel to Z
- Can trap about 20 antihydrogen 4 minutes
  - Up from 0.1 every 30 min in 2014
  - This is pretty awesome!

9







#### **The Laser**



- Pulsed 121nm light; 1nJ per pulse (on a good day)
- Third harmonic generation in a Kr/Ar mixture
- Aligning the laser to the sample volume is hard

THG

364.7 nm

CALGARY

- First Lamb shift measurement was direct
- This is not a direct measurement
  - ALPHA is not an antimatter ion oven
  - We only have access to 1S atoms
- We measured the 1S-2P<sub>f</sub> and 1S-2P<sub>c</sub> transitions (red and blue lines)
- This along with the 1S-2S transitions is sufficient to calculate the lamb shift
- Assume Zeeman and hyperfine interactions in hydrogen



- We ran two types of experiment
- Singular and doubly spin polarized 1S samples
  - Positron spin polarized
  - Positron spin AND antiproton spin polarized
- The initial sample of antihydrogen was prepared the same way
  - About 500 antiatoms
- Microwave radiation was used to remove the antihydrogen that are not doubly polarized



- We are unable to resolve the two spectrum peaks in fig. a
  - Doppler broadening is too large
- Simulated data was fit with a four parameter function with the centre frequency being one
- The experimental data was fit with the same function using the three other parameters chosen by simulation fits



	Lamb Shift (GHz)	Hyperfine Splitting (GHz)
Antihydrogen		
Hydrogen		



#### **Future Work**

- CERN facilities shut down until 2020
  - Hardware upgrades
- Gravity measurement
- Laser cooling
- More microwave measurements
- Hydrogen spectroscopy??



#### Conclusion

- The first ever measurement of the lamb shift in antihydrogen was completed
- It is in agreement with the value measured for hydrogen
- Lots to get done between now and 2020
- More exciting results to come





#### Thank You to:





- TRIUMF & CERN
- UofC
- Everyone in ALPHA
- NSERC
- CFI
  - + AB, BC, ON match





**FONDATION CANADIENNE** 

**POUR L'INNOVATION** 

CANADA FOUNDATION FOR INNOVATION





#### **Data collection specifics**

- Twelve frequencies around the centroid peak for the transition in question were chosen
- Each frequency exposed for 20s, all of the frequencies are cycled through for a total time of 2 hours per trial
  - Exposure time was chosen to minimise depletion effect
  - Cycling through frequencies in this manor reduces systematic errors and removes the need to normalize between data sets
- Only a 1ms observation window after each laser pulse was used

